arXiv:solv-int/9703002v1 4 Mar 1997

AN ANALOG OF THE VARIATIONAL DERIVATIVE AND CONSTRUCTIVE NECESSARY INTEGRABILITY CONDITIONS FOR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

S. Ya. Startsev
Mathematical Institute
Ufa center of the Russian Academy of Sciences
Chernyshevsky street 112, 450000 Ufa, Russia
e-mail: starts@imat.rb.ru

Abstract

An algorithm is constructed which allows to express conserved flows of hyperbolic equations in terms of corresponding conserved densities and to eliminate these flows from conservation laws of hyperbolic equations. The application of this algorithm to canonical conservation laws gives constructive necessary integrability conditions of hyperbolic equations in terms of the generalized Laplace invariants of these equations.

Let us consider the hyperbolic equation

$$u_{xy} = F(x, y, u, u_x, u_y) \ . \tag{1}$$

The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^{i+j}u}{\partial x^i \partial y^j}$ with $i \cdot j \neq 0$ can be eliminated by virtue of the equation (1) and its differential consequences. Therefore, we assume that all functions depend on the variables $x, y, u, u_i = \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i}, v_i = \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial y^i}$. We denote the total derivatives with respect to x and y by virtue of the equation

(1) as D_x and D_y, respectively. These total derivatives are expressed in the variables x, y, u, u_i, v_i as

$$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(u_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} + D_y^{i-1}(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \right) ,$$

$$D_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(v_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} + D_x^{i-1}(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \right) .$$

Let us consider the differential operator

$$M = D_x D_y + a D_x + b D_y + c \, , \tag{2}$$

where a, b and c are functions.

Definition 1. *The functions*

$$K_0 = D_y(b) + ab - c, \;\; H_0 = D_x(a) + ab - c$$

are called the main Laplace x-invariant and y-invariant of the operator (2), respectively.

The subsequent Laplace y-invariants H_i are defined by the formula

$$H_{i+1} = 2H_i - D_x(S_i) - H_{i-1} \, ,$$

where $H_{-1} = K_0$ and S_i is a solution of the equation $H_i S_i = D_y(H_i)$.

The subsequent Laplace x-invariants K_i are defined by the formula

$$K_{i+1} = 2K_i - D_y(R_i) - K_{i-1} \, ,$$

where $K_{-1} = H_0$ and R_i is a solution of the equation $K_i R_i = D_x(K_i)$.

The Laplace invariants of the linearization operator

$$L = D_x D_y - F_{u_x} D_x - F_{u_y} D_y - F_u \tag{3}$$

of the equation (1) are called the Laplace invariants of this equation.

Let us denote

$a_0 = a, \;\; a_{i+1} = a_i - S_i;$	$b_0 = b, \;\; b_{i+1} = b_i - R_i;$
$M_i = (D_y + a)(D_x + b_i) - K_i;$	$M_{-i} = (D_x + b)(D_y + a_i) - H_i;$
$\nabla_i = D_x + b_i;$	$\Delta_i = D_y + a_i;$
$A_{-1} = 1,$	$A_i = \Delta_i \circ A_{i-1};$
$B_{-1} = 1,$	$B_i = \nabla_i \circ B_{i-1};$
$C_0 = 1,$	$C_{i+1} = \Delta_{i+1} \circ C_i,$

where $i \geq 0$.

It is easy to prove the relationship

$$C_i \circ M = \nabla_0 \circ A_i - {}_iA_{i-1} \ , \ i \geq 0 \tag{4}$$

by induction on i.

Definition 2. *A function f is called a symmetry of the equation (1) if $L(f) = 0$, where L is defined by the formula (3).*

Let f be a symmetry of the equation (1) and

$$p = D_x(\gamma) \ , \quad p_{u_i} = 0, \ i > n \ . \tag{5}$$

It is easy to verify that the vector field

$$\partial_f = f\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(D_y^i(f)\frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} + D_x^i(f)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\right)$$

commutes with the total derivatives D_x and D_y. Therefore, applying ∂_f to (5) we obtain that the operator $p_* - D_x \circ \gamma_*$, where q_* denotes the linearization operator of the function q,

$$q_* = \frac{\partial q}{\partial u} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial v_i}D_y^i + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_i}D_x^i\right) \quad ,$$

maps any symmetry into zero. Hence,

$$p_* - D_x \circ \gamma_* = 0 \quad mod \quad \{L, D_x \circ L, D_y \circ L, \ldots\} \ . \tag{6}$$

We rewrite

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_* & = \xi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(\xi_{-i}A_{i-1} + \xi_i B_{i-1}) \ , \\ \gamma_* & = \eta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(\eta_{-i}A_{i-1} + \eta_i B_{i-1}) \ . \end{array} \tag{7}$$

Using the formula (4) we rewrite (6) as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \xi_n & = \eta_{n-1} \ , \\ \xi_i & = (D_x - b_i)(\eta_i) + \eta_{i-1}, \ i = \overline{1, n-1} \ , \\ \xi_{-i} & = (D_x + F_{u_y})(\eta_{-i}) + \eta_{-(i+1)}H_i, \ i \geq 0 \ , \end{array} \tag{8}$$

where H_i are the Laplace y-invariants of (1), $b_i = -F_{u_y} - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} R_j$.

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n-1}(p) &= \xi_n \ , \\
\delta_i(p) &= \xi_{i+1} - (D_x - b_{i+1})(\delta_{i+1}(p)), \ i = \overline{-1, n-2} \ , \\
\delta_{-i}(p) &= H_{i-2} \dots H_0 \xi_{1-i} - (D_x - \hat{b}_{i-1})(\delta_{1-i}(p)), \ i \geq 2 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\hat{b}_i = -F_{u_y} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} Q_j, \ D_x(H_j) = Q_j H_j$. The equations (8) imply in this notation that

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_i &= \delta_i(p), \quad i = \overline{0, n-1}, \\
\eta_{-i} H_{i-1} \dots H_0 &= \delta_{-i}(p), \quad i > 0 \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Since there exist k such that $\gamma_{v_i} = 0$ for all $i > k$ and, therefore, $\eta_{-i} = 0$, $i > k$, we prove the following

Proposition 1. *If $p \in Im \ D_x$, then there exist k such that $\delta_{-i}(p) = 0$ for all $i > k$.*

Let us consider a conservation law

$$D_y(p) = D_x(\gamma), \quad p_{u_i} = 0, \ i > n \ .$$

Repeating the slightly modified above calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_y + F_{u_x})(\xi_n) &= \eta_{n-1} \ , \\
(D_y + F_{u_x})(\xi_i) + \xi_{i+1} K_i &= (D_x - b_i)(\eta_i) + \eta_{i-1} \ , \ i = \overline{1, n-1}, \\
(D_y + F_{u_x})(\xi_0) + \xi_1 K_0 &= (D_x + F_{u_y})(\eta_0) + \eta_{-1} H_0 \ , \\
(D_y - a_i)(\xi_0) + \xi_{1-i} &= (D_x + F_{u_y})(\eta_{-i}) + \eta_{-(i+1)} H_i \ , \ i \geq 1 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where ξ_i and η_i are defined by (7), K_i are the Laplace invariants of the equation (1), $a_i = -F_{u_x} - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} S_j$. Taking into account

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_x - b_{i-1}) \circ (L_i)^\top &= (L_{i-1})^\top \circ (D_x - b_i) \ , \\
H_{i-1} \dots H_0 (D_y - a_i) &= (D_y + F_{u_x}) \circ H_{i-1} \dots H_0 \ , \\
H_{i-1} \dots H_0 (D_x + F_{u_y}) &= (D_x - \hat{b}_i) \circ H_{i-1} \dots H_0 \ , \\
(D_x - \hat{b}_i) \circ (L^\top)_{i-1} &= (L^\top)_i \circ (D_x - \hat{b}_{i-1}) \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $i \geq 1$, $M^\top$ denotes the formally adjoint to M operator

$$M^\top = D_x D_y - a D_x - b D_x + c - D_x(a) - D_y(b) \ ,$$

we deduce from (10)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \eta_{n-1} & = (D_y + F_{u_x})(\delta_{n-1}(p)) \ , \\ \eta_i & = (D_y + F_{u_x})(\xi_{i+1}) - (L_{i+1})^\top(\delta_{i+1}(p)) \ , \ i = \overline{0, n-2} \ , \\ \eta_{-1}H_0 & = (D_y + F_{u_x})(\xi_0) - L^\top(\delta_0(p)) \ , \\ \eta_{-i}H_{i-1}\dots H_0 & = (D_y + F_{u_x})(H_{i-2}\dots H_0\xi_{1-i}) - (L^\top)_{i-1}(\delta_{1-i}(p)) \ , \ i \geq 2 \ , \end{array}$$

where $\delta_i(p)$ are defined by (9).

Thus, we prove

Proposition 2. *If $D_y(p) \in Im\ D_x$, then there exist k such that $(L^\top)_i(\delta_{-i}(p)) = 0$ for all $i > k$.*

Proposition 3. *Let the equation (1) admits a symmetry f, $f_{v_k} \neq 0$, $f_{v_i} = 0$ for all $i > k$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{lll} D_x(f_{v_k}) & = 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1 \ ; \\ D_y(F_{u_y}) & = D_x\left(\frac{f_{v_{k-1}}}{kf_{v_k}}\right) & \text{if } k \geq 3 \qquad (11) \ ; \\ D_y(\sqrt[k]{f_{v_k}}(F_u + F_{u_x}F_{u_y})) & \in Im\ D_x & \text{if } k \geq 5 \ . \end{array}$$

Differentiating the relationship $L(f) = 0$ with respect to v_{k+1}, v_k and v_{k-1} we easily prove this proposition.

It is easy to verify by induction on i that

$$\delta_{-i}(F_{u_y}) = h_{i-1}H_{i-2}\dots H_0 \ ,$$

where $h_{i-1} = (H_{i-1})_{v_i}$, $i \geq 1$.

The application of proposition 2 to the canonical conservation low (11) and the formula $(L^\top)_i \circ H_{i-1}\dots H_0 = H_{i-1}\dots H_0(L_{-i})^\top$ give

Theorem. *If the equation (1) has a symmetry f, $f_{v_k} \neq 0$, $f_{v_i} = 0$ for all $i > k$, $k \geq 3$, then*

$$(L_{-k})^\top\left(\frac{h_{k-1}}{H_{k-1}}\right) = 0$$

or

$$H_i = 0 \text{ for some } i,\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Moreover, we can express γ_{u_i} and γ_{v_i} in terms of η_i and obtain a first order partial differential system on γ. The compatibility conditions of this system corresponding to a canonical conservation law give additional integrability conditions of the equation (1).

References

[1] I. M. Anderson and N. Kamran. *The variational bicomplex for second order scalar partial differential equations in the plane.* CRM technical report, September, 1994.

[2] A. V. Zhiber, V. V. Sokolov and S. Ya. Startsev. *On the Darboux integrable nonlinear hyperbolic equations.* Doklady RAN, 1995, Vol. 343, No. 6, p. 746-748 (in Russian).

[3] V. V. Sokolov and A. V. Zhiber. *On the Darboux integrable hyperbolic equations.* Phis. Lett. A., 1995, Vol. 208, p. 303-308.

[4] S. Ya. Startsev. *Differential substitutions and symmetries of hyperbolic equations.* In book "Asymptotics and symmetries of nonlinear dynamical systems", Mathematical Institute of Ufa center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ufa, 1995, p. 80-95 (in Russian).

[5] M. Juras and I. M. Anderson. *Generalized Laplace invariant and the Method of Darboux.* Preprint, University of Montreal, June, 1995.